

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat nt and Trademark Offic

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		. <u>.</u> A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/771,467	12/20/ 9 6	VAN VORIS		r r	La I hair line (t 1 or line to	
HAROLD N WELLS ARNOLD WHITE AND DURKE PO BOX 4433 HOUSTON TX 77210		HM12/0615	乛	LEVY, N	XAMINER	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Transaction of the second		, , ,		DATE MAILED:	06/15/00 /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Office Action Summary Application N	167 VAN Wis etal		
Examiner MEN	lary Group Art Unit 17		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cove	r sheet beneath the correspondence address-		
P ri d for Reply	dein		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the state. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MC Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the app 	utory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.		
Status 11/ -199			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
☐ This action is FINAL.			
□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matt accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453	ers, prosecution as to the merits is closed in O.G. 213.		
Disp sition of Claims			
\mathbb{S} Claim(s) $1-4/6-42$	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
1 1 1 1 7	are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ a			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Ex	xaminer.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	0.44.0(-).(-))		
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority doc □ received. 			
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bure 	•		
*Certified copies not received:	•		
Attachment(s)			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413		
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi w, PTO-948	☐ Other		
Office Acti n Sumr	narv .		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Receipt is acknowledged of Petition, Declaration, and amendment of 3/19/99 and Associate Power, Petition, CPA, Extension of Time and Petition of 11/5/99 each, respectively.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4,6-15,30-42, drawn to method of making, classified in class 523, subclass 122.
- II. Claims 16-23, drawn to method of treating, classified in class 424, subclass 78.09.
- III. Claims 24-29 are, drawn to pesticide devices, classified in class 424, subclass 408.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions of Group I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MEP. § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by another materially different process, such as spray drying.

Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MEP.

Art Unit: 1617

§ 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product may be used in a materially different process such as a termite bait.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species of form- <u>matrix</u> or <u>capsule</u> of claims 25,41.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 24 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MEP. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 08/771467

Art Unit: 1617

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I,II, or III is not required for Group III,II or I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neil Levy whose telephone number is (703) 308-2412. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F from 7AM to 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minna Moezie, acting, can be reached on (703) 308-4612. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3592.



Application/Control Number: 08/771467

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Levy/sg

May 26, 2000

NEIL'S LEVY DRIMARY EXAMINER

NEIL S. LEVY